

Application No. 10/730,744
Response dated January 17, 2006
Reply to Office Action of July 13, 2005

REMARKS

The drawings were objected to. Attached is a replacement sheet containing figures 64-67. No new matter has been added to the application.

Applicant notes the objections to the Specification but respectfully declines to make any changes. It is respectfully submitted that the Specification as submitted is proper and that the suggested changes are neither necessary nor customary.

Claims 39-54 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Eggers. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection. The claims are drawn to an LED bulb which is adaptable to an application with a load/resistance to match impedance/resistance requirements of the application, the bulb including at least one LED and a load. Eggers, on the other hand, does not claim a bulb, nor does Eggers' circuit attempt to match the impedance/resistance requirements of an application. Rather, Eggers discloses a circuit which attempts to alter the light output of an LED such that its luminance matches that of an incandescent bulb which it replaces. Therefore, Eggers does not anticipate claims 39-54.

Claims 56-59 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection. Eggers does not disclose the basic invention claimed in claim 49, and thus, even in combination with applicant's prior patent, does not render obvious claims 56-59.

Attached is an additional Information Disclosure Statement listing Patent No. 6,220,722, which was through a typographical error listed as Patent No. 5,220,722 in the Information Disclosure Statement filed on 7 September 2004. Attached is a check in the amount of \$180.00 for the fee for filing this Information Disclosure Statement.

Applicant respectfully submits that the application is in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is hereby respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner feel that a telephone conference would advance the prosecution of this application, he is encouraged to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

It is believed that the period for reply will be reset again shortly, so that this response will

Application No. 10/730,744
Response dated January 17, 2006
Reply to Office Action of July 13, 2005

be timely filed. However, in the event that it is not, Applicant respectfully petitions the Commissioner for any extension of time necessary to render this paper timely, and ask that the fees therefor be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0694.

Please charge any additional fees due or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0694.


Respectfully submitted,



Seth M. Nehrbass, Reg. No. 31,281
e-mail: SethNehrbass@gsnn.us
Charles C. Garvey, Jr., Reg. No. 27,889
Gregory C. Smith, Reg. No. 29,441
Brett A. North, Reg. No. 42,040
GARVEY, SMITH, NEHRBASS & NORTH, L.L.C.
PTO Customer No. 22920
3838 N. Causeway Blvd., Suite 3290
Metairie, LA 70002
Tel.: (504) 835-2000
Fax: 504-835-2070
www.neworleanspatents.com

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: MAIL STOP AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 17th day of January, 2006.



Seth M. Nehrbass

Application No. 10/730,744
Response dated January 17, 2006
Reply to Office Action of July 13, 2005

IN THE DRAWINGS:

Attached is a replacement sheet containing figures 64-67.